arXiv:1611.01094v2 [math.NT] 17 Nov 2016

MODULAR INVARIANTS AND ISOGENIES

FABIEN PAZUKI

November 21, 2016

Abstract. We provide two explicit bounds on the difference of heights of the j-invariants of isogenous elliptic curves defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. The first one is reminiscent of a classical estimate for the Faltings height of isogenous abelian varieties, which is indeed used in the proof. The second one is a combination of the first one and of explicit isogeny estimates by Gaudron and Rémond.

Keywords: Heights, Elliptic curves, Isogenies.
Mathematics Subject Classification: 11G50, 11G05, 14G40, 14J15, 14K02.

Invariants modulaires et isogénies.

Abstract. On donne dans ce texte deux majorants pour la différence des hauteurs des j-invariants de courbes elliptiques isogènes définies sur $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. La première inégalité ressemble à un résultat classique sur les hauteurs de Faltings de variétés abéliennes isogènes, qui s'avère effectivement utile dans la preuve. La seconde est un corollaire de la première et de travaux de Gaudron et Rémond.

Mots-Clefs: Hauteurs, Courbes elliptiques, Isogénies.

---

1. Introduction

Two elliptic curves defined over a number field K are isomorphic over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ if and only if they have the same j-invariant. A natural question is: what happens to the j-invariant within an isogeny class? We obtain the following result in this direction.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\varphi : E_1 \to E_2$ be a $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$-isogeny between two elliptic curves defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Let j_1 and j_2 be the respective j-invariants. Then one has*

$$|h(j_1) - h(j_2)| \leq 8 + 12 \log \deg \varphi, \tag{1}$$

where $h(.)$ denotes the absolute logarithmic Weil height.

To optimize inequality (1), it is then natural to consider an isogeny with minimal degree. In view of Théorème 1.4 of [GaRé14], recalled below in Theorem 3.5, one obtains the following corollary.

Corollary 1.2. *Let E_1 and E_2 be elliptic curves defined over a number field K of degree d and isogenous over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, with respective j-invariants j_1 and j_2. Then*

$$|h(j_1) - h(j_2)| \leq 141.8 + 24 \log \Big(d \max\{h(j_1) - 15.48\,,\, 23640\} + 48 d \log d \Big).$$

The author is supported by the DNRF Niels Bohr Professorship of Lars Hesselholt and ANR-14-CE25-0015 Gardio. Merci à Pascal Autissier et Gaël Rémond pour leurs remarques utiles.

Moreover, if E_1 (and hence E_2) has complex multiplications, then one has

$$|h(j_1) - h(j_2)| \leq 73.6 + 24 \log \Big(d \max\{h(j_1) - 15.48 + 6 \log d, 12\} \Big).$$

Finally, if E_1 and E_2 don't have complex multiplications and if K has a real embedding, one has

$$|h(j_1) - h(j_2)| \leq 46.6 + 24 \log \Big(d \max\{h(j_1) - 15.48\,,\, 12 \log d, 12\} \Big).$$

Remark 1.3. There is no more degree of isogeny in the upper bounds of Corollary 1.2. Given two elliptic curves, it enables us to build a quick test to rule out the existence of a $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$-isogeny between them. For instance let's take $j_1 = 2$ and $j_2 = 2^{555}$. These j-invariants are both integral, so the associated elliptic curves have potentially good reduction everywhere, hence regarding basic reduction properties, nothing prevents these curves from being isogenous. But $|h(j_1) - h(j_2)| = \log(2^{554}) > 141.8 + 24 \log(23640)$, hence the curves are not isogenous over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ by virtue of Corollary 1.2.

Remark 1.4. We tried to produce numerical values that are as small as possible, in particular we see from the proof that 8 can be replaced by 7.944 in Theorem 1.1. By symmetry, one can also replace $h(j_1)$ in the upper bound by $\min\{h(j_1), h(j_2)\}$ in Corollary 1.2.

Remark 1.5. Quantitative results on isogenous elliptic curves are first given in [MaWü89, MaWü90], later improved in [GaRé14].

Remark 1.6. Corollary 1.2 implies finiteness of the set of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$-isomorphism classes of elliptic curves within an isogeny class. Indeed if j_1 is fixed and K is fixed, then j_2 has bounded height, and the Northcott property of the Weil height concludes the argument. Finiteness of the set of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$-isomorphism classes implies finiteness of the set of K-isomorphism classes as well (so we recover a classical theorem of Shafarevich), see the argument of [CoSi86] page 259 and 260 or [MaWü89] page 104 for instance.

In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we compare the absolute logarithmic Weil height of the j-invariant with the Faltings height, then apply a classical estimate (Faltings [Fa83], Raynaud [Ra85]) on the Faltings height in an isogeny class, in the particular case of elliptic curves here. Previous comparisons between the j-invariant and the Faltings height were not sufficient, though. In particular, estimates of the form $|h(j) - 12 h_F(E)| \leq c_1 + c_2 \log \max\{1, h\}$, with c_1 and c_2 positive constants and $h = h_F(E)$ or $h = h(j)$ are too weak. So the new input here is a modification of the Faltings height given in Notation 3.2 that encapsulates just enough of the complex elliptic curve data to make Lemma 3.3 work. Once this lemma is established, a direct combination of the Faltings height estimate in an isogeny class and of Proposition 2.5 leads to Theorem 1.1. Several technical steps in the sequel are more or less direct consequences of the work of Gaudron and Rémond [GaRé14]. After introducing the material and proving Proposition 2.5 in Section 2, we prove Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2 in Section 3.

2. Definitions and preliminaries

2.1. **Basic notation.** If K is a number field, we denote by d its degree over $\mathbb{Q}$ and by M_K the set of all places of K. For any natural prime number p, we normalize the archimedean absolute values by $|p|_v = p$ and the non-archimedean by $|p|_v = p^{-1}$ if v divides p or $|p|_v = 1$ otherwise. For any $v \in M_K$, we have K_v the completion of K with respect to the valuation $|.|_v$. We denote by d_v the local degree $[K_v : \mathbb{Q}_v]$. The symbol $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}$ denotes the norm on K

down to $\mathbb{Q}$. The notation log stands for the logarithm satisfying $\log(e) = 1$. If G is a finite set, the symbol $\#G$ stands for the number of elements in G.

If α is an algebraic number, element of a number field K, we will use the absolute logarithmic Weil height

$$h(\alpha) = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{v \in M_K} d_v \log \max\{1, |\alpha|_v\}.$$

2.2. **Discriminant and j-invariant.** We refer the reader to Chapter III.1 of [Si92] and Chapter I.3 of [Si94] for classical facts about the j function. We give here a quick description of what we need.

Let E be an elliptic curve defined over a number field K. Choose a Weierstrass equation $y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$ where $A, B \in K$. Define $D = -16(4A^3 + 27B^2)$, which is non-zero because E is a smooth curve. Define $j = -1728\frac{(4A)^3}{D}$. This element of K is called the j-invariant of E. Two elliptic curves defined over K are isomorphic over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ if and only if they have the same j-invariant.

Choose an embedding of K in $\mathbb{C}$. Let $\mathbb{H}$ be the complex upper half plane. We associate to E a complex number in the fundamental domain $\mathcal{F} = \{\tau \in \mathbb{H} \,|\, |\tau| \geq 1 \text{ and } |\mathrm{Re}(\tau)| \leq \frac{1}{2}\}$. It satisfies in particular $\mathrm{Im}\,\tau \geq \sqrt{3}/2$. As explained in Proposition 1.5 page 10 of [Si94], the choice is not unique. Among the choices we have we agree on taking, for instance, the τ with smallest real part. We will call this unique τ the *reduced* τ.

The j-invariant of E then becomes a modular function $\mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{C}$. We will also use the modular discriminant Δ. Let us introduce the notation $q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$, then j and Δ become naturally functions of q by looking at their Fourier expansion at infinity. Several choices of normalization appear in the literature. We favor $\Delta(q) = q\prod_{n=1}^{+\infty}(1-q^n)^{24}$, without the multiplicative factor $(2\pi)^{12}$, and we took care of keeping all constants coherent with this choice. The begining of the q-expansion of the j-invariant is $\frac{1}{q} + 744 + 196884q + \ldots$.

2.3. **The Faltings height.** Let E be an elliptic curve defined over a number field K. Let $S = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$, where $\mathcal{O}_K$ is the ring of integers of K and let $\pi\colon \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow S$ be the Néron model of E over S. Denote by $\varepsilon\colon S \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}$ the zero section of π and by $\omega_{\mathcal{E}/S}$ the sheaf of relative differentials

$$\omega_{\mathcal{E}/S} := \varepsilon^\star \Omega_{\mathcal{E}/S} \simeq \pi_\star \Omega_{\mathcal{E}/S}\,.$$

For any archimedean place v of K, denote by σ an embedding of K in $\mathbb{C}$ associated to v, then the corresponding line bundle

$$\omega_{\mathcal{E}/S,\sigma} = \omega_{\mathcal{E}/S} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K,\sigma} \mathbb{C} \simeq H^0(\mathcal{E}_\sigma(\mathbb{C}), \Omega_{\mathcal{E}_\sigma}(\mathbb{C}))$$

can be equipped with a natural L^2-metric $\|.\|_\sigma$ defined by

$$\|\alpha\|_\sigma^2 = \frac{i}{2}\int_{\mathcal{E}_\sigma(\mathbb{C})} \alpha \wedge \overline{\alpha}\,.$$

The $\mathcal{O}_K$-module of rank one $\omega_{\mathcal{E}/S}$, together with the hermitian norms $\|.\|_\sigma$ at infinity defines an hermitian line bundle $\overline{\omega}_{\mathcal{E}/S} = (\omega_{\mathcal{E}/S}, (\|.\|_\sigma)_{\sigma:K\hookrightarrow\mathbb{C}})$ over S, which has a well defined Arakelov degree $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{\omega}_{\mathcal{E}/S})$. Recall that for any hermitian line bundle $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ over S, the Arakelov degree of $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ is defined as

$$\widehat{\deg}(\overline{\mathcal{L}}) = \log \#\left(\mathcal{L}/s\mathcal{O}_K\right) - \sum_{\sigma:K\hookrightarrow\mathbb{C}} \log \|s\|_\sigma\,,$$

where s is any non zero section of $\mathcal{L}$, and the result does not depend on the choice of s in view of the product formula in K.

We now give the definition of the classical Faltings height of [Fa83].

Definition 2.1. The Faltings height of E is defined as

$$h_F(E) := \frac{1}{[K:\mathbb{Q}]}\widehat{\deg}(\overline{\omega}_{\mathcal{E}/S}).$$

A key property is given by Raynaud in Corollaire 2.1.4 point (1) page 207 of [Ra85] (it can also be deduced from Lemma 5 page 358 of [Fa83]), any two isogenous abelian varieties A_1 and A_2 satisfy

$$|h_F(A_1) - h_F(A_2)| \leq \frac{1}{2}\log\deg\varphi. \tag{2}$$

2.4. **Injectivity diameter.** We recall the definition of the injectivity diameter as it will be useful in Lemma 2.3. Let A be a complex abelian variety and L be a polarization on A. It induces a hermitian norm $\|.\|_L$ on the tangent space t_A by setting $\|z\|_L = \sqrt{H(z,z)}$ for $z \in t_A$, where $H(.,.)$ is the Riemann form associated to L. Let Ω_A be the period lattice of A. Then we define

$$\rho(A,L) = \min\{\|\omega\|_L \mid \omega \in \Omega_A,\, \omega \neq 0\}.$$

The number $\rho(A,L)$ acquires the name *injectivity diameter* as it is the diameter of the largest ball on which the exponential $\exp : t_A \to A$ is injective.

In dimension 1, if one normalizes $\Omega_A = \mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z}$ then $H(z,w) = \frac{z\overline{w}}{\operatorname{Im}\tau}$, see Example 4.1.3 page 71 of [BiLa04].

2.5. **Upper half plane and isogenies.** Let E elliptic curve over $\mathbb{C}$. In the sequel, we always use the reduced τ introduced earlier and such that $E(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z}$.

Notation 2.2. Let E_1 and E_2 be elliptic curves defined over a number field K. Let $\sigma : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a complex embedding and $E_1(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z} + \tau_{1,\sigma}\mathbb{Z}$, respectively $E_2(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z} + \tau_{2,\sigma}\mathbb{Z}$, where we ask that $\tau_{1,\sigma}$ and $\tau_{2,\sigma}$ are reduced. We will use

$$\alpha(E_1,E_2) = \frac{1}{2[K:\mathbb{Q}]}\sum_{\sigma:K\hookrightarrow\mathbb{C}}\Big|\log\frac{\operatorname{Im}\tau_{1,\sigma}}{\operatorname{Im}\tau_{2,\sigma}}\Big|.$$

Our goal in this paragraph is to bound from above $\alpha(E_1,E_2)$ in the case where E_1 and E_2 are isogenous elliptic curves. We will use the following general lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\varphi : A_1 \to A_2$ be an isogeny and L a polarization on A_2. Then*

$$\rho(A_2,L) \leq \rho(A_1,\varphi^*L) \leq (\deg\varphi)\rho(A_2,L),$$

where $\rho(A,M)$ denotes the injectivity diameter of the polarized abelian variety (A,M).

Proof. This is Lemma 3.4 page 356 of [GaRé14]. □

Lemma 2.4. *Let E_1 and E_2 be two elliptic curves over $\mathbb{C}$. Let us denote $E_1(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z} + \tau_1\mathbb{Z}$ and $E_2(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z} + \tau_2\mathbb{Z}$, where we ask that τ_1 and τ_2 are reduced. Suppose there is an isogeny $\varphi : E_1 \to E_2$. Then*

$$\Big|\log\frac{\operatorname{Im}\tau_1}{\operatorname{Im}\tau_2}\Big| \leq \log\deg\varphi.$$

Proof. Any polarization on E_1 is a power of the principal polarization L_1 on E_1. Let us take the principal polarization L_2 on E_2, so one has $\varphi^* L_2 = L_1^{\otimes \deg_{L_2}(E_2)}$. Thus $\deg_{L_2}(E_2) = \deg \varphi$ as we are in dimension 1, hence $\rho(E_1, \varphi^* L_2)^2 = (\deg \varphi)\rho(E_1, L_1)^2$. Apply Lemma 2.3 (in dimension 1) to get

$$\rho(E_2, L_2) \leq (\deg \varphi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho(E_1, L_1) \leq (\deg \varphi)\rho(E_2, L_2). \tag{3}$$

Now remark that $\rho(E_1, L_1)^{-2} = \operatorname{Im} \tau_1$ and $\rho(E_2, L_2)^{-2} = \operatorname{Im} \tau_2$ (or just refer to Remarque 3.3 page 356 of [GaRé14]), hence (3) becomes

$$\operatorname{Im} \tau_2 \geq (\deg \varphi)^{-1} \operatorname{Im} \tau_1 \geq (\deg \varphi)^{-2} \operatorname{Im} \tau_2, \tag{4}$$

which gives

$$(\deg \varphi)^{-1} \leq \frac{\operatorname{Im} \tau_1}{\operatorname{Im} \tau_2} \leq \deg \varphi,$$

this concludes the proof. □

Proposition 2.5. *Let E_1 and E_2 be two elliptic curves over a number field K, isogenous over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Then*

$$\alpha(E_1, E_2) \leq \frac{1}{2} \log \deg \varphi.$$

Proof. Straightforward from Lemma 2.4. □

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We start by an analytic lemma about the j-invariant, which is stated as a part of Lemme 1 page 187 of [FaPh87]; the proof is in (3) page 2.6 of [FaPh84]. As this last reference is not easy to find, we include here a combination of arguments due to Faisant and Philibert [FaPh84] pages 2.4 and 2.6.

Lemma 3.1. *Let τ be an element of the upper half plane. Then $|j(\tau)| \geq e^{2\pi \operatorname{Im} \tau} - 1193$.*

Proof. Let us start by writing the q-expansion of j as $j(\tau) = \dfrac{1}{q} + \displaystyle\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} c_n q^n$. All the coefficients c_n are positive integers. Indeed, if one denotes $\sigma_3(n) = \displaystyle\sum_{d|n} d^3$, one has the classical formula (see for instance [Si94] Proposition 7.4.b page 60)

$$j(\tau) = \frac{\left(1 + 240 \displaystyle\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \sigma_3(n) q^n\right)^3}{q \displaystyle\prod_{n=1}^{+\infty} (1 - q^n)^{24}},$$

and each factor $(1 - q^n)^{-24} = (\displaystyle\sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} q^{mn})^{24}$ has positive integral coefficients, hence j as well.

Let us now write $\tau = x + iy$ with $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $y > 0$. Then $j(\tau) = e^{-2\pi i x} e^{2\pi y} + f(x, y)$ where $f(x, y) = \displaystyle\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} c_n e^{2\pi i n x} e^{-2\pi n y}$. One has $|f(x, y)| \leq \displaystyle\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} c_n e^{-2\pi n y} = j(iy) - e^{2\pi y} = g(y)$, where the function g is positive and decreasing on $]0, +\infty[$, because all the coefficients c_n are

positive. Hence for all $y \geq 1$, one obtains $g(y) \leq g(1) = j(i) - e^{2\pi} \leq 1193$, where one used $j(i) = 1728$. It implies $|j(\tau)| \geq e^{2\pi y} - |f(x,y)| \geq e^{2\pi y} - 1193$ for all $y \geq 1$. As the inequality $|j(\tau)| \geq e^{2\pi y} - 1193$ is trivial for $1 \geq y > 0$, we are done. □

Let us introduce the following quantity.

Notation 3.2. Let E be an elliptic curve over a number field K. For each complex embedding $\sigma : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, choose the reduced τ_σ such that $E_\sigma(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z} + \tau_\sigma\mathbb{Z}$. We will use the following number,

$$h_\nu(E) = h_F(E) + \frac{1}{2[K:\mathbb{Q}]} \sum_{\sigma : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}} \log \operatorname{Im} \tau_\sigma.$$

We will prove Theorem 1.1 by using a comparison between the j-invariant and $h_\nu(E)$. Here is the key lemma. The first inequality is a modified version of Lemma 7.9 page 393 of [GaRé14].

Lemma 3.3. *For any elliptic curve E over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ with j-invariant j one has*

$$1.238 \leq \frac{1}{12} h(j) - h_\nu(E) \leq 1.90.$$

Proof. Throughout the proof, we will always consider reduced elements τ_σ. We start by applying formula (10) of Silverman [CoSi86] page 257, if E is defined over a number field K, denote the minimal discriminant of E by $D_{E/K}$ and the degree of K by $d = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$, then

$$h(j) = \frac{1}{d} \log |N_{K/\mathbb{Q}} D_{E/K}| + \frac{1}{d} \sum_{\sigma : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}} \log \max\{1, |j(\tau_\sigma)|\}. \tag{5}$$

We will use Proposition 1.1 of [CoSi86] page 254, where one has to correct a power of 2π in the definition of Δ (see paragraph 2.2) for the formula to hold, as already done in paragraph 3 page 426 of [Au03] or in Proposition 8.2 page 195 of [dJ05] (his Δ is given in Definition 4.4 page 185). See also Theorem 7 page 419 of [Fa84] (his Δ is defined page 416). We obtain the following

$$h_F(E) = \frac{1}{12d} \log |N_{K/\mathbb{Q}} D_{E/K}| - \frac{1}{12d} \sum_{\sigma : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}} \log((2\pi)^{12} |\Delta(\tau_\sigma)| (\operatorname{Im} \tau_\sigma)^6), \tag{6}$$

thus

$$h_\nu(E) = \frac{1}{12d} \log |N_{K/\mathbb{Q}} D_{E/K}| - \frac{1}{12d} \sum_{\sigma : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}} \log((2\pi)^{12} |\Delta(\tau_\sigma)|). \tag{7}$$

Thus by substracting (5) to (7) we get the key equality

$$h_\nu(E) - \frac{1}{12} h(j) = -\frac{1}{12d} \sum_{\sigma : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}} d_v \log \big(\max\{1, |j(\tau_\sigma)|\} (2\pi)^{12} |\Delta(\tau_\sigma)| \big). \tag{8}$$

We now prove the first inequality. We have

$$-\log |\Delta(\tau_\sigma)| \leq 2\pi \operatorname{Im} \tau_\sigma + 24 C_{\tau_\sigma},$$

with

$$C_{\tau_\sigma} = -\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \log |1 - q^n| \leq -\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \log(1 - e^{-2\pi \operatorname{Im} \tau_\sigma n}) \leq -\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \log(1 - e^{-\pi\sqrt{3}n}),$$

where we used the inequality $\operatorname{Im}\tau_\sigma \geq \sqrt{3}/2$. A direct estimate then gives $24C_\sigma \leq 1/9$. That provides us with

$$|\Delta(\tau_\sigma)| \geq e^{-1/9-2\pi \operatorname{Im}\tau_\sigma}.$$

One has $|j(\tau_\sigma)| \geq e^{2\pi \operatorname{Im}\tau_\sigma} - 1193$ by Lemma 3.1. Hence we get

$$\max\{1, |j(\tau_\sigma)|\}(2\pi)^{12}|\Delta(\tau_\sigma)| \geq \max\{1, e^{2\pi \operatorname{Im}\tau_\sigma} - 1193\}(2\pi)^{12}e^{-1/9-2\pi \operatorname{Im}\tau_\sigma},$$

which can be written

$$\max\{1, |j(\tau_\sigma)|\}(2\pi)^{12}|\Delta(\tau_\sigma)| \geq e^{-1/9}(2\pi)^{12}F(\operatorname{Im}\tau_\sigma)$$

where F is the function given by

$$F(y) = \max\{e^{-2\pi y}, 1 - 1193e^{-2\pi y}\}.$$

The inequality $1 - 1193e^{-2\pi y} \geq e^{-2\pi y}$ is equivalent to $y \geq \log(1194)/2\pi$. On the interval $[\sqrt{3}/2, \log(1194)/2\pi]$, the function F is decreasing and $F(y) \geq F(\log(1194)/2\pi)$ and on $[\log(1194)/2\pi, +\infty[$, the function F is increasing and $F(y) \geq F(\log(1194)/2\pi)$ as well, hence $\forall y \geq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$, $F(y) \geq F(\log(1194)/2\pi) = 1/1194$. It allows us to conclude by injecting in (8)

$$h_\nu(E) - \frac{1}{12}h(j) \leq -\frac{1}{12}\log\Big(\frac{e^{-1/9}(2\pi)^{12}}{1194}\Big) \leq -1.238. \tag{9}$$

Let us prove the second inequality. We have (see for instance [FaPh87] page 184) the following classical equality, for any τ_σ in the upper half plane,

$$j(\tau_\sigma)\Delta(\tau_\sigma) = 1 + 240\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} n^3\frac{q^n}{1-q^n}.$$

Using $\operatorname{Im}\tau_\sigma \geq \sqrt{3}/2$ and $|1-q^n| \geq 1 - |q|^n$ for the reduced τ_σ one has by direct estimate

$$|j(\tau_\sigma)\Delta(\tau_\sigma)| \leq 1 + 240\Big|\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} n^3\frac{q^n}{1-q^n}\Big| \leq 1 + 240\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} n^3\frac{e^{-\pi\sqrt{3}n}}{1-e^{-\pi\sqrt{3}n}} \leq 2.09. \tag{10}$$

We also have

$$\log|\Delta(\tau_\sigma)| = \log|q| + 24\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}\log|1-q^n|,$$

hence using again $\operatorname{Im}\tau_\sigma \geq \sqrt{3}/2$ we obtain

$$\log|\Delta(\tau_\sigma)| \leq -\pi\sqrt{3} + 24\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}\log(1+e^{-\pi\sqrt{3}n}) \leq -5, \tag{11}$$

so we can bound from above the maximum

$$\log\Big(\max\{1, |j(\tau_\sigma)|\}(2\pi)^{12}|\Delta(\tau_\sigma)|\Big) = \log\Big(\max\{|\Delta(\tau_\sigma)|, |j(\tau_\sigma)\Delta(\tau_\sigma)|\}(2\pi)^{12}\Big) \leq 12 \times 1.90,$$

by comparing (10) and (11). Inject in (8) to get the second inequality of the lemma, which together with (9) concludes the whole proof.

□

We finish the proof of Theorem 1.1 by the following inequalities.

Proposition 3.4. *(Final step in the proof of Theorem 1.1.) Let E_1 and E_2 be two elliptic curves over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Suppose $\varphi : E_1 \to E_2$ is an isogeny. Let j_1 and j_2 be the corresponding j-invariants. Then*

$$|h(j_1) - h(j_2)| \leq 7.944 + 12 \log \deg \varphi.$$

Proof. One writes:

$$\begin{aligned} \tfrac{1}{12}\left(h(j_1) - h(j_2)\right) &= \tfrac{1}{12}h(j_1) - h_\nu(E_1) + h_\nu(E_1) - h_\nu(E_2) + h_\nu(E_2) - \tfrac{1}{12}h(j_2) \\ &\leq 1.90 + |h_\nu(E_1) - h_\nu(E_2)| - 1.238 \\ &\leq 0.662 + |h_F(E_1) - h_F(E_2)| + \alpha(E_1, E_2) \\ &\leq 0.662 + \tfrac{1}{2}\log \deg \varphi + \tfrac{1}{2}\log \deg \varphi, \end{aligned}$$

using the two inequalities of Lemma 3.3, then Raynaud's estimate (2) and Proposition 2.5. Finally, use the dual isogeny to obtain the same upper bound for the opposite difference and conclude.

□

Let us now recall Théorème 1.4 page 347 of [GaRé14].

Theorem 3.5. *(Gaudron-Rémond) Let E and E' be elliptic curves defined over a number field K of degree d, isogenous over $\overline{K}$. Then there exists a $\overline{K}$-isogeny $\varphi : E \to E'$ such that*

$$\deg \varphi \leq 10^7 d^2 (\max\{h_F(E), 985\} + 4 \log d)^2.$$

Moreover, if E_1 (and hence E_2) has complex multiplications, one has the better upper bound

$$\deg \varphi \leq 34000 d^2 (\max\{h_F(E) + \frac{1}{2}\log d, 1\})^2.$$

Finally, if E_1 and E_2 don't have complex multiplications and if K has a real embedding, then one has the better upper bound

$$\deg \varphi \leq 3583 d^2 (\max\{h_F(E), \log d, 1\})^2.$$

We also recall Lemme 7.9 page 393 of [GaRé14], where we rescale by $\log(\pi)/2$ for normalization purposes.

Lemma 3.6. *Let E be an elliptic curve over a number field K with j-invariant j. Then*

$$h_F(E) \leq \frac{1}{12} h(j) - 1.29.$$

Apply successively Proposition 3.4, Theorem 3.5 and Lemma 3.6 to deduce Corollary 1.2 with $7.944 + 12\log(10^7/144) \leq 141.8$ for the first case, $7.944 + 12\log(34000/144) \leq 73.6$ for the second case and $7.944 + 12\log(3583/144) \leq 46.6$ for the last case.

References

[Au03] Autissier, P., *Hauteur des correspondances de Hecke*. Bull. Soc. Math. France **131.3** (2003), 421–433.

[BiLa04] Birkenhake, C. and Lange, H., *Complex abelian varieties*. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften **302** (2004).

[CoSi86] Cornell, G. and Silverman, J.H., (editors) *Arithmetic Geometry*, Springer-Verlag (1986).

[dJ05] de Jong, R., *On the Arakelov theory of elliptic curves*. l'Enseignement Mathématique **51** (2005), 179–201.

[FaPh84] Faisant, A. and Philibert, G., *Mesure d'approximation pour la fonction modulaire j*. Publication de l'Université Pierre et Marie Curie **66.2** (1984), 54 pages.

[FaPh87] Faisant, A. and Philibert, G., *Quelques résultats de transcendance liés à l'invariant modulaire j*. J. Number Theory **25.2** (1987), 184–200.

[Fa83] Faltings, G., *Endlichkeitssätze für abelsche Varietäten über Zahlkörpern*. Invent. Math. **73** (1983), 349–366.

[Fa84] Faltings, G., *Calculus on arithmetic surfaces*. Ann. of Math. (2) **119.2** (1984), 387–424.

[GaRé14] Gaudron, E. and Rémond, G., *Théorème des périodes et degrés minimaux d'isogénies*. Comment. Math. Helvet. **89** (2014), no. 2, 343–403.

[MaWü89] Masser, D.W. and Wüstholz, G., *Some effective estimates for elliptic curves*. Arithmetic of complex manifolds (Erlangen, 1988) Lecture Notes in Math. **1399** (1989), 103–109.

[MaWü90] Masser, D.W. and Wüstholz, G., *Estimating isogenies on elliptic curves*. Invent. Math. **100.1** (1990), 1–24.

[Ra85] Raynaud, M., *Hauteurs et isogénies*. Astérisque **127** (1985), 199–234.

[Si92] Silverman, J.H., *The arithmetic of elliptic curves*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics **106** (1992).

[Si94] Silverman, J.H., *Advanced topics in the arithmetic of elliptic curves*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics **151** (1994).